



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,332	07/02/2001	Douglas A. Neidich	8-4710	2072

7590 02/12/2003

Thomas Hooker, Esq.
Thomas Hooker, P.C.
Suite 304
100 Chestnut Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

GRAYBILL, DAVID E

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2827

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/897,332	NEIDICH, DOUGLAS A. <i>M</i>	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E Graybill	2827	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2003 .

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-23 and 29-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 24-28 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2,3,8 .

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) .

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: .

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23 and 29-34, drawn to a product, classified in class 439, subclass 66.
- II. Claims 24-28, drawn to a product, classified in class 439, subclass 862.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claims to both the subcombination and combination are presented and assumed to be patentable, and the omission of details of the claimed subcombination in the combination claim is evidence that the patentability of the combination does not rely on the details of the specific subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a non-electrical spacer.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground that "the requirement incorrectly groups the claims." This is not found persuasive because the claims are properly grouped. To this end, it is noted that applicant's allegation that claim 29 depends on claim 24 is incorrect. Claim 29 is an independent claim which refers only to a portion of claim 24 and does not contain all the limitations of claim 24.

Furthermore, the reasons for insisting on restriction as stated in MPEP 808 have been clearly met. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Fijten (6113440).

At column 2, line 61 to column 4, line 53, Fijten teaches the following:

24. A spring contact for forming redundant electrical connections with a contact pad, said spring contact comprising an elongate metal body including a first end 6, a contact end 7 and a resilient beam 8 located between such ends, said contact end including a pair of contact points 9 spaced across the contact end, wherein movement of a contact pad 13 into engagement with contact points flexes the beam and wipes the contact points along the pad to form redundant pressure electrical connections between the contact and pad.

25. The spring contact as in 24 wherein one contact point comprises a rounded edge.

26. The spring contact as in 25 wherein the spring contact is formed from uniform thickness strip stock having a sheared

Art Unit: 2827

[“stamping”] edge, and each contact point is located on a corner of said sheared edge.

27. The spring contact as in 26 wherein each contact point is bent to one side of the contact ends.

28. The spring contact as in 27 wherein each contact point is rounded along the length of the corner.

The art made of record and not applied to the rejection is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It is cited primarily to show inventions similar to the instant invention.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to Group 2800 Customer Service whose telephone number is 703-306-3329.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/3087724.



David E. Graybill
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2827

D.G.
7-Feb-03